

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,850	10/04/2000	Joshua J. Reiter	REITE0004	REITE0004 5969	
24203 7	1590 12/31/2002				
GRIFFIN & SZIPL, PC SUITE PH-1 2300 NINTH STREET, SOUTH			EXAMINER		
			COSIMANO, EDWARD R		
ARLINGTON,	, VA 22204		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3629		
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7
---

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/678,850	REITER, JOSHUA J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
		Edward R. Cosimano	3629			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE N - Exter after . If the . If NO . Failur . Any rearner	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status 1\⊠	Posponojvo to communication/s) filed on 07.0	Notation 2002				
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 C</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Thi	s action is non-final.				
	,					
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawr	n from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ 7	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2002</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)  approved b)  disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office			_		

Application/Control Number: 09/678,850

Art Unit: 3629

- 1. Applicant should note the changes to patent practice and procedure:
  - A) effective December 01, 1997 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 62, No. 197, Friday October 10, 1997; and
  - B) effective November 07, 2000 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 65, No. 54603, September 08, 2000.
- 2. The specification and drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification or drawings. Applicant should note the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.74, § 1.75, § 1.84(o,p(5)), § 1.121(a)-1.121(f) & § 1.121(h)-1.121(i).
- 3. Claims 1-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over either:
  - A) claims 1-20 of U. S. Patent No. 5,819,241; or
  - B) claims 1-35 of U. S. Patent No. 6,178,411;

since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the above identified patents.

- 3.1 The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:
  - A) the scanning/reading of recipient information from an item;
  - B) checking a data base for the recipient information;
  - C) if a match is found, then applying targeted information to the item if not restricted by the recipient;
    - D) if a match is not found, then applying general information to the item;
    - E) updating a data base based on points (C) and (D); and
    - F) determining the correct postage for the item.
- 3.2 The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/678,850

Art Unit: 3629

possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

- 3.3 A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 3.4 Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 4. The terminal disclaimer filed on 07 October 2002 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of UNKNOWN has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.
- 4.1 It is noted that applicant references two distinct patents, that is Patent No. 5,819,241 and Patent No. 6,178,411, however, the wording of the terminal disclaimer references only the "prior patent" in the singular. Hence, there is confusion as to which of the two distinct patents is referenced to by the phrase "prior patent". It is suggested that the phrase "prior patent" be changed to --prior patents--, or that applicant submit two separate terminal disclaimers, that is one for each patent term to be disclaimed.
- 5. The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance over the prior art:
  - A) the prior art teaches for example:
  - (1) Storace et al (4,831,554) or Heinrich et al (5,471,925) which apply the same advertisement to each item to be shipped until the advertisement is changed; or
  - (2) Freytag (5,490,077 or 5,602,743) which apply the same advertisement to each item to be shipped for a particular cost center; or

Application/Control Number: 09/678,850 Page 4

Art Unit: 3629

(3) Wilkins (5,446,919) which searches a data base using a profile to apply targeted advertisements.

- B) however, in regard to claims 1, 16, 20, 30 & 40, the prior art does not teach or suggest a mail processing system in which:
  - (1) the recipient data of an item to shipped is obtained from the item to be shipped;
    - (2) the obtained recipient data is then compared to a data base;
  - (3) if the data base contains matching information, then an associated targeted advertisement is applied to item to be shipped, otherwise a generic advertisement is applied; and
  - (4) updating the database to reflect the type of advertising applied to the item.
- Claims 2-5, 17, 21, 31-39 & 41-48 are allowable for the same reason.
- C) however, in regard to claims 6, 11, 18, 22, 24, 26 & 49, the prior art does not teach or suggest a mail processing system in which:
  - (1) the sender data of an item to shipped is obtained from the item to be shipped;
    - (2) the obtained sender data is then compared to a data base;
  - (3) if the data base contains matching information, then an associated targeted advertisement is applied to item to be shipped, otherwise a generic advertisement is applied; and
  - (4) updating the database to reflect the type of advertising applied to the item.

Claims 7-10, 12-15, 19, 23, 25, 27-29 & 50 are allowable for the same reason.

- 6. Response to applicant's arguments.
- 6.1 All rejections and objections of the previous Office action not repeated or modified and repeated here in have been over come by applicant's last response.
- 6.2 As per the obvious double patenting rejection, see above.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,850

Art Unit: 3629

7. The shorten statutory period of response is set to expire 3 (three) months from the mailing date of this Office action.

Page 5

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Cosimano whose telephone number is (703) 305-9783. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached on (703)-308-2702. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.
- 8.1 The fax phone number for **UNOFFICIAL/DRAFT FAXES** is (703) 746-7240.
- 8.2 The fax phone number for **OFFICIAL FAXES** is (703) 305-7687.
- 8.3 The fax phone number for AFTER FINAL FAXES is (703) 308-3691.

12/30/02

Edward R. Cosimano

Primary Examiner A.U. 3629